**Group Number: G66**

**Project Title: Synthetic Clinical Patient Database**

**Your full name: Allison Schneider**

**Your username (abc123): as5664**

**Other Team members:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Full Name** | **Username (abc123)** |
| **2. Francis Villamater** | **fv48** |
| **3. Andrew Chen** | **ac4267** |
| **4. NA** | **NA** |

## Who's Responsible?

***This form needs to be submitted for all group project members*.** Every team member evaluates himself/herself and each fellow team member. Peer evaluations could affect your grade, so it is in your best interest to ensure that these evaluations are conducted fairly and submitted to the professor at the time you submit your project report. If an individual has taken on extra responsibility, such as organizing the group, or editing submissions for consistency, this should be indicated by group members and extra credit will be assigned to that person.

Each team member must complete a peer evaluation form. The peer reviews will be used to identify team problems and, in cases where an individual or group collaboration was uneven, to apportion the group grade. These forms must be sent using the corresponding assignment.

The form is mandatory for all team members. It must be submitted within 24 hrs after the final project documentation is submitted. **Without this form, your project grade will be zero**.

Individual scores on each criterion should range from 0 (absent), 1 (almost nothing), 5 *(poor)* to 10 *(outstanding) –* ***only Integers allowed****.* Provide a **DISTINCT** score for each team member (including yourself) on each criterion and provide comments below to justify any very low or very high scores. Also compute an average score for each team member. The only score that can be repeated in each criterion (line) is 0 (zero).

***Mandatory: Overall comments on your team members (Write qualitative comments on your collaboration and any comments you missed above):*** You **must** write a paragraph here about the overall evaluation **and** any qualitative comments you want to pass me. (Without this section, the validity of your scores is questionable.)

## Peer Evaluation Scores

**\*\*\* Check if all members’ usernames (abc123) are correct\*\*\* (don’t worry about lower- or uppercase)**

Your peer evaluation form should adhere to the following format. Add more rows if necessary.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Collaboration Items**  **(Individual contribution on…)** | **as5664** | **fv48** | **ac4267** | **NA** |
| Milestone #1 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| Milestone #2 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 0 |

**Scores must be given between 0-10 ( integers only )**

**Do not repeat the same score more than twice in the same line (except 0’s zeros) – for example, if you give yourself 10 in milestone 1, only one other member can also have score 10, whereas others must have a score equals or lower than 9.**

**Write your comments about other team members’ overall contribution.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Member’s Name** | **Member’s Username** | **Your Comments** |
| Allison Schneider | as5664 | I organized our team meeting for this milestone; I also “drove” on our team call (shared screen, typed the queries, built out our word document for submission) |
| Francis Villamater | fv48 | Francis came up with good questions we answered with queries for each table. |
| Andrew Chen | ac4267 | Andrew came up with good questions we answered with queries for each table, and helped significantly in debugging and resolving issues that arose along the way. |
| NA | NA |  |